

COMP3258

Functional Programming

Tutorial 9: Equational Reasoning and Structural Induction

Warm-up (I)

Given the definition of not show that

$$\text{not } (\text{not } x) = x$$

$$\text{not True} = \text{False}$$

$$\text{not False} = \text{True}$$

Warm-up (2)

Given the definition of SAE^* and $eval$, show that

$$eval (Add e1 (Add e2 e3)) = eval (Add (Add e1 e2) e3)$$

```
data SAE = Number Integer
         | Add SAE SAE
```

```
eval :: SAE -> Integer
```

```
eval (Number n)
```

```
eval (Add e1 e2) = eval e1 + eval e2
```

$\text{eval} (\text{Add } e1 (\text{Add } e2 e3))$
= {applying eval}
 $\text{eval } e1 + \text{eval} (\text{Add } e2 e3)$
= {applying eval}
 $\text{eval } e1 + (\text{eval } e2 + \text{eval } e3)$
= {assoc of (+)}
 $(\text{eval } e1 + \text{eval } e2) + \text{eval } e3$
= {unapplying eval}
 $\text{eval} (\text{Add } e1 e2) + \text{eval } e3$
= {unapplying eval}
 $\text{eval} (\text{Add} (\text{Add } e1 e2) e3)$

Question 1

Given the definition of `map` and `(.)`, show that

$$\text{map } f (\text{map } g \text{ } xs) = \text{map } (f . g) \text{ } xs$$

$$\text{map } f [] = []$$

$$\text{map } f (x:xs) = f x : \text{map } f \text{ } xs$$

$$(f . g) x = f (g x)$$

induction on xs

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } f \text{ (map } g \text{ [])} \\ &= \{\text{applying inner map}\} \\ & \text{map } f \text{ []} \\ &= \{\text{applying map}\} \\ & [] \end{aligned}$$

Inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } f \text{ (map } g \text{ (x:xs))} \\ &= \{\text{applying inner map}\} \\ & \text{map } f \text{ (g x : map } g \text{ xs)} \\ &= \{\text{applying outer map}\} \\ & f \text{ (g x) : map } f \text{ (map } g \text{ xs)} \\ &= \{\text{induction hypothesis}\} \\ & f \text{ (g x) : map (f . g) xs} \\ &= \{\text{unapplying (.)}\} \\ & (f . g) x : \text{map (f . g) xs} \\ &= \{\text{unapplying map}\} \\ &= \text{map (f . g) (x : xs)} \end{aligned}$$

Question 2

Given the definition of `all` and `replicate`, show that

`all (== x) (replicate n x) = True`

`all p [] = True`

`all p (x:xs) = p x && all p xs`

`replicate 0 x = []`

`replicate n x = x : replicate (n - 1) x`

induction on n

Base case:

$\text{all } (== x) (\text{replicate } 0 x)$

= {applying replicate}

$\text{all } (== x) []$

= {applying all}

True

Inductive case: $n = m + 1$

$\text{all } (== x) (\text{replicate } (m + 1) x)$

= {applying replicate}

$\text{all } (== x) (x : \text{replicate } m x)$

= {applying all}

$(== x) x \ \&\& \ \text{all } (== x) (\text{replicate } m x)$

= {applying ==}

True $\&\&$ $\text{all } (== x) (\text{replicate } m x)$

= {induction hypo}

True $\&\&$ True

= {applying $\&\&$ }

True

Question 3

Given the definition of $(++)$, show that

$$1) \text{ xs } ++ [] = \text{ xs}$$

$$2) \text{ xs } ++ (\text{ ys } ++ \text{ zs}) = (\text{ xs } ++ \text{ ys}) ++ \text{ zs}$$

$$[] ++ \text{ ys} = \text{ ys}$$

$$(\text{ x } : \text{ xs}) ++ \text{ ys} = \text{ x } : (\text{ xs } ++ \text{ ys})$$

$$1) xs ++ [] = xs$$

induction on xs

Base case:

$$[] ++ []$$

$$= \{\text{applying } (++)\}$$

$$[]$$

Inductive case:

$$(x : xs) ++ []$$

$$= \{\text{applying } (++)\}$$

$$x : (xs ++ [])$$

$$= \{\text{induction hypothesis}\}$$

$$x : xs$$

$$2) xs ++ (ys ++ zs) = (xs ++ ys) ++ zs$$

induction on xs

Base case:

$$[] ++ (ys ++ zs)$$

$$= \{\text{applying } (++)\}$$

$$ys ++ zs$$

$$= \{\text{unapplying } (++)\}$$

$$([] ++ ys) ++ zs$$

Inductive case:

$$(x : xs) ++ (ys ++ zs)$$

$$= \{\text{applying } (++)\}$$

$$x : (xs ++ (ys ++ zs))$$

$$= \{\text{induction hypothesis}\}$$

$$x : ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs)$$

$$= \{\text{unapplying } (++)\}$$

$$(x : (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs$$

$$= \{\text{unapplying } (++)\}$$

$$((x : xs) ++ ys) ++ zs$$

Question 3

Given the definition of $(++)$, take and drop , show that

$$\text{take } n \text{ } xs \text{ } ++ \text{ drop } n \text{ } xs = xs$$

$$\text{take } 0 \text{ } xs = []$$

$$\text{take } (n + 1) \text{ } [] = []$$

$$\text{take } (n + 1) \text{ } (x : xs) = x : \text{take } n \text{ } xs$$

$$\text{drop } 0 \text{ } xs = xs$$

$$\text{drop } (n + 1) \text{ } [] = []$$

$$\text{drop } (n + 1) \text{ } (_ : xs) = \text{drop } n \text{ } xs$$

Induction on n xs

Base case: $n = 0, xs = []$

$take\ 0\ []\ ++\ drop\ 0\ []$
= {applying take, drop}
 $[]\ ++\ []$
= {applying ++}
 $[]$

Base case: $n = m + 1, xs = []$

$take\ (m+1)\ []\ ++\ drop\ (m+1)\ []$
= {applying take, drop}
 $[]\ ++\ []$
= {applying ++}
 $[]$

Base case: $n = 0, xs = x:xs$

$take\ 0\ (x:xs)\ ++\ drop\ 0\ (x:xs)$
= {applying take, drop}
 $[]\ ++\ []$
= {applying ++}
 $[]$

Inductive case: $n = m + 1, xs = x:xs$

$take\ (m+1)\ (x:xs)\ ++\ drop\ (m+1)\ (x:xs)$
= {applying take, drop}
 $(x : take\ m\ xs)\ ++\ drop\ m\ xs$
= {unapplying (++)}
 $x : (take\ m\ xs\ ++\ drop\ m\ xs)$
= {induction hypo}
 $x : xs$

Question 4

Given the definition of Tree, show that the number of leaves in a tree is always one greater than the number of nodes, by induction on trees.

```
data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
```

First we define two functions

$\text{nodes} :: \text{Tree} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

$\text{leaves} :: \text{Tree} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

$\text{nodes} (\text{Leaf } _) = 0$

$\text{nodes} (\text{Node } l \ r) = 1 + \text{nodes } l + \text{nodes } r$

$\text{leaves} (\text{Leaf } _) = 1$

$\text{leaves} (\text{Node } l \ r) = \text{leaves } l + \text{leaves } r$

Prove: $(\text{nodes } t) + 1 = \text{leaves } t$

Induction on t

Base case: $t = \text{Leaf } n$

$(\text{nodes } (\text{Leaf } n)) + 1$
= {applying nodes}
 $0 + 1$
= {arithmetic calculation}
 1
= {unapplying leaves}
 $\text{leaves } (\text{Leaf } n)$

Inductive case: $t = \text{Node } l \ r$

$\text{nodes } (\text{Node } l \ r) + 1$
= {applying nodes}
 $1 + \text{nodes } l + \text{nodes } r + 1$
= {permutation of addition}
 $((\text{nodes } l) + 1) + ((\text{nodes } r) + 1)$
= {induction hypo}
 $\text{leaves } l + \text{leaves } r$
= {unapplying leaves}
 $\text{leaves } (\text{Node } l \ r)$